



ESCOLA DE
MEDICINA

SCIENTIA MEDICA

Scientia Medica Porto Alegre, v. 34, p. 1-7, jan.-dez. 2024
e-ISSN: 1980-6108 | ISSN-L: 1806-5562

<http://dx.doi.org/10.15448/1980-6108.2024.1.45010>

ORIGINAL ARTICLES

Gestational Diabetes Mellitus Among Women Accessing Antenatal Care at The Federal Medical Centre Ebute Metta, Southwestern Nigeria

Diabetes mellitus gestacional entre mulheres que acessam cuidados pré-natais no Centro Médico Federal Ebute Metta, sudoeste da Nigéria

Saheed A. Adekola¹

[orcid-0009-0002-3512-1502](https://orcid.org/0009-0002-3512-1502)
adekolagradedu21@gmail.com

Nkiru I. Enemu²

[orcid-0009-0005-4671-488X](https://orcid.org/0009-0005-4671-488X)
ndidie24@gmail.com

Dorcas Jolaoluwa
Adefoluke²

[orcid-0000-0002-6086-2935](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6086-2935)
jolaoluwaade@gmail.com

Victor O. Ojedapo²

[orcid-0009-0002-6734-8944](https://orcid.org/0009-0002-6734-8944)
ojedapo.victor@fmceb.org

Arinola Makinde²

[orcid-0009-0008-2678-1569](https://orcid.org/0009-0008-2678-1569)
arinatijoye@yahoo.com

Abdulah M. Zeberu²

[orcid-0009-0006-7549-5943](https://orcid.org/0009-0006-7549-5943)
tallabdul@yahoo.co.uk

Adekola Dhikroh
Oriyomi³

[orcid-0009-0008-3941-1313](https://orcid.org/0009-0008-3941-1313)
dhikrohadekola13@gmail.com

Received on: 9th Aug. 2024.

Approved on: 4th Sep. 2024

Published on: 22th Oct. 2024.

Abstract

Background: the study aimed to assess the prevalence of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM) among pregnant women at the Federal Medical Centre, Ebute Metta (FMCEB), Lagos State, Nigeria.

Materials and methods: this is a retrospective study of GDM cases at the FM-CEB Lagos. Two hundred and thirty-four (234) pregnant women at 24 weeks of gestation and above were screened for GDM between the periods of November 2018 to November 2019. During Antenatal clinics, all pregnant women at 24 weeks of gestation and above who were considered to be at risk after undergoing preliminary clinical examination were given a 75g oral glucose load, using the World Health Organization standardized oral glucose tolerance test. GDM was diagnosed if 2 hours of plasma glucose was ≥ 140 mg/dL.

Results: the prevalence of GDM among expectant mothers was 44 (18.8%) and the mean age of women with GDM was 34.5 ± 7.3 years while the age ranged between 20 to 50 years. The age group of 35-39 years old had the highest prevalence of GDM among the studied patients. Specifically, 31.8% (14 out of a total unknown number) of GDM patients belonged to this age group. In contrast, only 2.3% of the subjects were 50 years old, which suggests a significantly lower prevalence of GDM in this age group.

Conclusion: the high prevalence of GDM reported in this study highlights the need for increased screening and management of GDM in Nigeria. Further research is needed to develop standardized protocols for the screening and management of GDM in Nigeria, particularly in resource-limited settings.

Keywords: diagnostic criteria, gestational diabetes, oral glucose tolerance test, pregnant women, screening and management.

Resumo

Objetivo: avaliar a prevalência de diabetes mellitus gestacional (DMG) em gestantes atendidas no Centro Médico Federal de Ebute Metta (FMCEB), Estado de Lagos, Nigéria.



Artigo está licenciado sob forma de uma licença
Creative Commons Atribuição 4.0 Internacional.

¹ University of Abuja, Faculty of Nursing and Allied Health Sciences, Department of Chemical Pathology, Medical Laboratory Science Program (UOAFNAHMLS), Abuja, Nigeria, & MBM Molecular Laboratories (MBMML), Dubai, United Arab Emirates.

² Department of Medical Laboratory Services, Chemical Pathology Unit, Federal Medical Centre, Ebute Metta (FMCEB), Lagos State, Nigeria.

³ Department of Nursing, Qassim University Medical City (QUMC) Buraydha, Qassim, Saudi Arabia.

Materiais e métodos: estudo retrospectivo dos casos de diabetes mellitus gestacional atendidos na FMCEB Lagos. Duzentas e trinta e quatro gestantes com 24 semanas de gestação ou mais foram rastreadas para DMG entre os períodos de novembro de 2018 a novembro de 2019. Durante as consultas de pré-natal, todas as gestantes com 24 semanas de gestação ou mais que foram consideradas de risco após serem submetidas ao exame clínico preliminar receberam uma carga oral de glicose de 75g, usando o teste de tolerância oral à glicose padronizado pela Organização Mundial de Saúde. DMG foi diagnosticada se a glicose plasmática de duas horas fosse ≥ 140 mg/dl.

Resultados: a prevalência de DMG entre as gestantes foi de 44 (18,8%) e a média de idade dessas mulheres foi $34,5 \pm 7,3$ anos, com idades variando de 20 a 50 anos. A faixa etária de 35-39 anos apresentou a maior prevalência de DMG entre as pacientes estudadas. Especificamente, 14 (31,8%) pacientes com DMG pertenciam a essa faixa etária. Em contraste, apenas 2,3% dos indivíduos tinham 50 anos, o que sugere uma prevalência significativamente menor de DMG nessa faixa etária.

Conclusão: a alta prevalência de DMG relatada neste estudo destaca a necessidade de maior rastreamento e manejo do DMG na Nigéria. Mais pesquisas são necessárias para desenvolver protocolos padronizados para a triagem e o manejo do DMG na Nigéria, particularmente em ambientes com recursos limitados.

Palavras-chave: critérios diagnósticos, diabetes gestacional, teste oral de tolerância à glicose, gestantes, rastreamento e tratamento.

Introduction

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is distinguished from pre-diagnosed type 1 or type 2 diabetes as well as maturity-onset diabetes of the young in pregnant women by being defined as any degree of glucose intolerance with onset or first detection during pregnancy (1, 2). A reduced glucose tolerance that emerges or is initially noticed during pregnancy was the original definition of GDM. GDM is now defined as carbohydrate intolerance that has not developed or been identified for the first-time during pregnancy after the criteria were altered (3). Diagnosis of GDM poses additional risks to both the fetus and the mother, thereby placing a heavy burden on healthcare systems, particularly in settings with limited resources (4).

The worldwide occurrence of gestational diabetes is estimated to range from 1% to 28%. This broad spectrum is attributed to variations in screening techniques, diagnostic standards, ethnic backgrounds, and the age of expectant mothers

(5). GDM typically affects 5% of pregnancies, though this number may change depending on the criteria utilized and the demographics of the population. The prevalence of GDM has grown due to the obesity epidemic (6). The International Diabetes Federation's estimates indicate that 14% of pregnancies worldwide, or around 18 million babies each year, are affected by GDM (7). GDM exhibits a higher prevalence in low- and middle-income countries, with the Middle East and North Africa region and the Southeast Asian region reporting the highest standardized prevalence rates at 27.6% and 20.8%, respectively. In Africa, the standardized frequency of GDM stands at 14.2% (8). However, data concerning GDM in Sub-Saharan Africa present varying outcomes. Some studies indicate a prevalence of 14% among high-risk individuals, which aligns with the figures reported by (8,9). Conversely, a systematic review and meta-analysis suggest an average GDM prevalence of 9% in Sub-Saharan Africa (10). In Nigeria specifically, estimates range widely from 0.5% to 38%, with a pooled prevalence of 11.0% (4). However, there is limited or no available information regarding the prevalence of GDM in Ebute Metta, Lagos, located in southwest Nigeria. Additionally, there's a notable absence of discussion on the significance of Oral Glucose Tolerance Tests (OGTT) as diagnostic and screening tools for prevention and improving outcomes in this context.

Pregnancies impacted by GDM increase the likelihood of cesarean and surgical vaginal birth, macrosomia, shoulder dystocia, newborn hypoglycemia, and hyperbilirubinemia (11, 12).

Women with pre-existing diabetes can be exposed to hyperglycemia in the first two trimesters of pregnancy, increasing the risk of a range of both isolated and multiple cardiovascular anomalies, including central nervous system and musculoskeletal defects (13, 14). This is in contrast with most unborn babies of women who develop glucose intolerance during pregnancy.

Risk-based screening for GDM can be performed worldwide. Obesity, body mass index above 30 kg/m^2 , prior GDM, family history of GDM, ethnic family origin with a high prevalence of diabetes

mellitus (DM), clinical conditions associated with insulin resistance, such as polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS), acanthosis nigricans, and history of hypertension or hypercholesterolemia are characteristics of high-risk categories (15,16). Because glucose levels rise in women who cannot make enough insulin to adapt to this resistance and because insulin resistance increases throughout the second trimester, screening is typically done at 24–28 weeks of pregnancy (17, 18). The possibility of missing GDM instances rises when selective screening is used. General screening is therefore advised.

In 1999 World Health Organization defined and classified criteria for the diagnosis of GDM. These include: (i) GDM is a carbohydrate intolerance resulting in hyperglycemia of variable severity with the onset or first recognition during pregnancy, (ii) In first and early second-trimester fasting and postprandial glucose concentrations are normally lower than in normal non-pregnant women. Elevated fasting or postprandial plasma glucose levels at this time in pregnancy may well reflect the presence of diabetes mellitus which has antedated the pregnancy, (iii) Testing for GDM is usually done between 24–28 weeks of gestation and (iv) To determine if GDM, is present a standard OGTT should be performed with 75g anhydrous glucose in 250ml of water after overnight fasting of 8–14 hours. Plasma glucose is measured, by fasting, and after two hours, pregnant women who meet the criteria for DM or impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) are classified as having GDM. These women should have 75g OGTT at 6 weeks or more after delivery. Venous plasma glucose cut-off of $\geq 140\text{mg/dL}$ (7.8mmol/L) at two hours is classified as having GDM (19).

Various studies have been carried out on the prevalence of GDM in various parts of Nigeria, but few have been reported in Lagos State, Nigeria (4, 20). This present study was therefore performed to determine the prevalence of GDM and OGTT as a definitive diagnostic tool among pregnant women attending an Antenatal clinic at Federal Medical Centre, Ebute Metta (FMCEB), Lagos State, Nigeria.

Materials and methods

This study was conducted at the Chemical Pathology Unit, Department of Medical Laboratory Services, FMCEB, Lagos, Nigeria. The subjects were pregnant women aged 18–50 years at 24 weeks of gestation and above who consented to participate in the study and enrolled at the laboratory for OGTT between November 2018 and November 2019. Patients were prepared and counseled for testing. Blood and urine samples were collected from 243 women and screened for GDM. Demographic and other factors, such as age, family history of diabetes mellitus in first-degree relatives, previous history of GDM, and macrosomia, were obtained using a structured questionnaire.

A standard OGTT was performed, giving each patient 75 g of anhydrous glucose in 250 ml of water after overnight fasting for 8–14 hours. Plasma and urine glucose levels were measured at fasting, one and after two hours respectively.

Aseptically obtained 3.0 ml of patient blood into fluoride oxalate bottles. Centrifuge at 3000 g for five minutes to obtain plasma. Plasma glucose was estimated using an enzymatic (spectrophotometric) method based on the principle of the glucose oxidase-peroxidase reaction using a Randox Kit (Randox Diagnostics Inc., Richmond, BC, Canada). The results were interpreted according to the standardized oral glucose tolerance test by the World Health Organization. GDM was diagnosed if the 2-hour plasma glucose level was $\geq 140\text{ mg/dL}$.

Urine glucose levels were also tested, by urinalysis using a urine reagent strip comb 2 (in vitro diagnostic). The interpretations of the results were carried out according to the manufacturer's guidelines. Frequency means and percentages were calculated using the IBM SPSS Statistics 18.

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institution Ethical Review Committee (Protocol number FMCEB/EC/2019/10/420). With strict adherence to Helsinki Declaration on research bioethics, a written assent was obtained from the participants, and they were given the option to exclude themselves from participating in this study.

Results

The total number of pregnant women who enrolled for the OGTT during the period was 234. The prevalence of GDM among expectant mothers was 18.8% (44/234). The mean age of

women with GDM was 34.5 ± 7.3 years and the age ranged from 20 to 50 years. The majority, comprising 31.8% (14) of GDM patients, belonged to the age group of 35 – 39 years, and only 2.3% of the subjects were 50 years old (**Table 1**).

TABLE 1 – Gestational Diabetes Mellitus distribution frequency by age group.

Age, years	N	%
20-24	4	9.2
25-29	7	15.9
30-34	8	18.1
35-39	14	31.8
40-44	7	15.9
45-49	3	6.8
≥ 50	1	2.3

Discussion

This present study conducted on pregnant women undergoing OGTT at FMCEB in Lagos, Nigeria, revealed a notable prevalence of GDM. This rate surpasses the global average reported by the International Diabetes Federation. However, this finding aligns with other studies conducted in Nigeria, indicating a significant occurrence of GDM in the region (4, 21). For instance, a separate study in Lagos reported a prevalence rate, slightly lower than the current study's findings (22, 23, 27). These results underscore the importance of enhanced screening and management of GDM in Nigeria, as highlighted by this study and previous research endeavors.

In this study, the average age of women diagnosed with GDM was relatively mature women, with the majority falling within the 35–39 age bracket. This is in line with other studies that found that the risk of GDM rose as maternal age rose (24). The importance of increasing GDM screening and management in older pregnant women is highlighted by this finding. Another recent study conducted in Lagos found that maternal age, a family history of diabetes, and a high pre-pregnancy body mass index were all significant risk

factors for GDM (25). This conclusion is in line with those of the present investigation, which discovered that maternal age was a significant risk factor for GDM (25).

In Nigeria, screening, and management of GDM might be difficult due to scarce resources and a lack of standardized procedures. However, a few studies have demonstrated that individualized therapy and targeted screening can improve the results for women with GDM. A screening method like that used by a study conducted in Ekiti, Nigeria, was used in this investigation (26). We discovered that tailored screening utilizing risk factors identified more GDM cases than general screening. This present study also showed that women with GDM benefit from individualized management, including counseling on diet and exercise, close monitoring of blood glucose levels, and better outcomes.

This study provides important insights into the prevalence and risk factors for GDM in a tertiary healthcare center in Lagos, Nigeria. The high prevalence of GDM reported in this study highlights the need for increased screening and management of GDM in Nigeria. Further research is needed to develop standardized protocols for the screening and management of GDM in Nigeria, particularly in resource-limited settings.

factors for GDM (25). This conclusion is in line

Notes

Funding

This study did not receive financial support from external sources.

Conflicts of interest disclosure

The authors declare no competing interests relevant to the content of this study.

Authors' contributions

All the authors declare to have made substantial contributions to the conception, or design, or acquisition, or analysis, or interpretation of data; and drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and to approve the version to be published.

Availability of data and responsibility for the results

All the authors declare to have had full access to the available data and they assume full responsibility for the integrity of these results.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank all the staff of the Department of Medical Laboratory Services (Chemical Pathology), and pregnant women attending ANC, FMCEB, Lagos, Nigeria.

References

1. Petry CJ. Gestational diabetes: risk factors and recent advances in its genetics and treatment. *Br J Nutr.* 2010;104(6):775-87. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114510001741>
2. Larebo YM, Ermolo NA. Prevalence and risk factors of gestational diabetes mellitus among women attending antenatal care in Hadiya zone public hospitals, southern nation nationality people region. *BioMed Res Int.* 2021;2021:e5564668. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5564668>
3. Sugiyama T. Management of gestational diabetes mellitus. *JMAJ.* 2011;54:293-00.
4. Azeez TA, Abo-Briggs T, Adeyanju AS. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the prevalence and determinants of gestational diabetes mellitus in Nigeria. *Indian J Endocrinol Metabol.* 2021;25(3):182-90. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijem.ijem_301_21
5. Nguyen CL, Pham NM, Binns CW, Duong DV, Lee AH. Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus in eastern and southeastern Asia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Diabetes Res.* 2018;2018:e6536974. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6536974>
6. Ben-Haroush A, Yogev Y, Hod M. Epidemiology of gestational diabetes mellitus and its association with type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med.* 2004;21(2):103-13. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1464-5491.2003.00985.x>
7. International Diabetes Federation. IDF diabetes atlas [Internet]. 9th ed. Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation; 2019 [cited 2024 May 30]. Available from: https://diabetesatlas.org/upload/resources/material/20200302_133351_IDFATLAS9e-final-web.pdf
8. Wang H, Li N, Chivese T, Werfalli M, Sun H, Yuen L, et al. Diabetes atlas committee hyperglycaemia in pregnancy special interest group IDF diabetes atlas: estimation of global and regional gestational diabetes mellitus prevalence for 2021 by international association of diabetes in pregnancy study group's criteria. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2022;183:109050. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109050>
9. Mwanri AW, Kinabo J, Ramaiya K, Feskens EJ. Gestational diabetes mellitus in sub-saharan Africa: systematic review and meta-regression on prevalence and risk factors. *Trop Med Int Health.* 2015;20(8):983-1002. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.12521>
10. Natamba BK, Namara AA, Nyirenda MJ. Burden, risk factors and maternal and offspring outcomes of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) in sub-saharan Africa (SSA): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2019;19:450. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2593-z>
11. Catalano PM, Ehrenberg HM. The short- and long-term implications of maternal obesity on the mother and her offspring. *BJOG.* 2006;113(10):1126-33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2006.00989.x>
12. Offomiyor FA, Rehal S. Exploring the knowledge, attitude, and practices of healthy pregnant women towards gestational diabetes mellitus in Nigeria. *Qual Health Res.* 2023;33(1-2):39-52. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10497323221139105>
13. Alexopoulos AS, Blair R, Peters AL. Management of preexisting diabetes in pregnancy: a review. *JAMA.* 2019;321(18):1811-19. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.4981>
14. Landon MB, Catalano PM, Gabbe SG. Diabetes mellitus complicating pregnancy. In: Landon MB, Galan HL, Jauniaux ERM, Driscoll DA, Berghella V, Grobman WA, et al. *Gabbe's obstetrics: normal and problem pregnancies.* 8th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2021. p. 871-907.
15. O'Sullivan JB, Mahan CM. Criteria for the oral glucose tolerance test in pregnancy. *Diabetes.* 1964;13:278-85.

16. Bhattacharya S, Nagendra L, Krishnamurthy A, Lakhani OJ, Kapoor N, Kalra B, Kalra S. Early gestational diabetes mellitus: diagnostic strategies and clinical implications. *Med Sci (Basel)*. 2021;9(4):59. <https://doi.org/10.3390/medsci9040059>
17. International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups consensus panel (IADPSG), Metzger BE, Gabbe SG, Persson B, Buchanan TA, Catalano PA, Damm P, et al. International association of diabetes and pregnancy study group's recommendations on the diagnosis and classification of hyperglycemia in pregnancy. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33(3):678-82. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc09-1848>
18. Centers for Disease Control (CDC). Diabetes: about gestational diabetes [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2024 Jun 05]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/about/gestational-diabetes.html>
19. World Health Organization (WHO). Definition, diagnosis, and classification of Diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part 1: Diagnosis and Classification of diabetes mellitus. 2ND ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 1999.
20. Wokoma FS, John CT, Eyindah CE. Gestational diabetes mellitus in a nigerian antenatal population. *Trop J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2001;18(2):56-60. <https://doi.org/10.4314/tjog.v18i2.14430>
21. Onyenekwe BM, Young EE, Nwatu CB, Okafor CI, Ugwueze CV, Chukwu SN. Prevalence of gestational diabetes in south east Nigeria using the updated diagnostic guidelines. *Int J Diabetes Metab*. 2019;25(1-2):26-32. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000500089>
22. Adegbola O, Ajayi GO. Screening for gestational diabetes mellitus in nigerian pregnant women using fifty-gram oral glucose challenge test. *West Afr J Med*. 2008;27(3):139-43.
23. Ajiboye A, Adesina KT, Abdul IF, Ezeoke GG, Biliaminu AS, Fehintola AO, et al. Prevalence of gestational diabetes and pregnancy outcome of antenatal patients in Ilorin. *Nigerian Medical Journal*. 2024;64(6):780-88. <https://doi.org/10.60787/nmj-64-6-320>
24. Kouhkan A, Najafi L, Malek M, Baradaran HR, Hosseini R, Khajavi A, et al. Gestational diabetes mellitus: major risk factors and pregnancy-related outcomes: a cohort study. *Int J Reprod Biomed*. 2021;19(9):827-36. <https://doi.org/10.18502/ijrm.v19i9.9715>
25. Senbanjo OC, Akinlusi FM, Ottun TA. Early pregnancy body mass index, gestational weight gain and perinatal outcome in an obstetric population in Lagos, Nigeria. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2021;39:136. <https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2021.39.136.25926>
26. Akinyemi OA, Omokhodion OV, Fasokun ME, Makanjuola D, Ade-Ojo IP, Adeniyi AA. Screening for gestational diabetes mellitus: is there a need for early screening for all women in developing countries? *Cureus*. 2023;15(2):e35533. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.35533>
27. Ugege WE, Abasiattai AM, Umoiyoho AJ, Ntiense M. The prevalence of gestational diabetes among antenatal attendees in a tertiary hospital in south south Nigeria. *International Journal of Medical and Health Research*. 2015;1(1): 72-9.
28. Homko C, Sivan E, Chen X, Reece EA, Boden G. Insulin secretion during and after pregnancy in patients with gestational diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Endocrinol*. 2001;86(2):568-73. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.86.2.7137>
29. Perkins JM, Dunn JP, Jagasia SM. Perspectives in gestational diabetes mellitus: a review of screening, diagnosis, and treatment. *Clin Diabetes Res*. 2001;25(2):57-62. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/diaclin.25.2.57>
30. de Valk HW, Visser GHA. Insulin during pregnancy, labor, and delivery. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2011;25(1):65-76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2010.10.002>
31. Evers IM, de Valk HW, Visser GHA. Risk of complications of pregnancy in women with type 1 diabetes: a nationwide prospective study in the Netherlands. *BMJ*. 2004;328(7445): 325:915. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38043.583160.ee>

Saheed A. Adekola

PhD in Medical Sciences (Chemical Pathology) from the University of KwaZulu Natal (UKZN), South Africa; Master's degree in, Chemical Pathology from University of Ibadan (UNIBADAN), Ibadan, Nigeria. Bachelor of Medical Laboratory Science (BMLS) from Ladoke Akintola University of Technology (LAUTECH), Ogbomoso, Nigeria. Currently, a Lecturer, University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria & former Laboratory Specialist at MBM Laboratory, Dubai, UAE.

Dorcas Jolaoluwa Adefoluke

Bachelor of Medical Laboratory Science (BMLS) from Babcock University (BU), Ilishan, Nigeria, Medical Laboratory Scientist, FMC, Ebute Metta (FMCEB), Lagos, Nigeria.

Arinola Makinde

Master of Science in Molecular Biology in View Lead City University, (LCU) Ibadan, Nigeria, BMLS Bachelor of Medical Laboratory Science, University of Benin (UNIBEN), Benin, Nigeria. Principal Medical Laboratory Scientist, FMC, Ebute Metta (FMCEB), Lagos, Nigeria.

Abdulahi M. Zeberu

Master's degree in Histopathology from University of Benin (UNIBEN), Benin, Nigeria. Associate Medical Laboratory Science, Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospital (OAUTHC), Ile-Ife, Nigeria, Bachelor of Science in Anatomy, University of Maiduguri (UNIMAID), Maiduguri, Nigeria Chief Medical Laboratory Scientist, FMC, Ebute Metta (FMCEB), Lagos, Nigeria.

Adekola Dhikroh Oriyomi

MComHealth in Community Health, University of Ilorin (UNIILORIN) Ilorin, Nigeria, Bachelor of Nursing Science, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology (LAUTECH), Ogbomoso, Nigeria, Registered Nurse, Department of Nursing, Qassim University Medical City (QUMC), Buraydha, Qassim, Saudi Arabia.

Nkiru I. Enemuo

Master of Science in Chemical Pathology & Molecular Diagnostics in View, University of Lagos (UNILAG), Lagos Nigeria, Associate Medical Laboratory Science, University of Benin Teaching Hospital (UNIBTH), Chief Medical Laboratory Scientist, FMC, Ebute Metta (FMCEB), Lagos, Nigeria.

Victor O. Ojedapo

PhD In View, Master of Science in Hematology, University of Lagos (UNILAG), Lagos, Nigeria. Associate Medical Laboratory Science, University of Lagos Teaching Hospital (LUTH), Lagos, Nigeria. Director, Medical Laboratory Services, FMC, Ebute Metta (FMCEB), Lagos, Nigeria.

Mailing address

Adekola Saheed Ayodeji

University of Abuja, Abuja

Faculty of Nursing & Allied Health Sciences

University of Abuja Teaching Hospital

P.M.B 117, Zip code 23409

Post Basic Nursing Building, Gwagwalada, Abuja

*Os textos deste artigo foram revisados pela SK
Revisões Acadêmicas e submetidos para validação
do(s) autor(es) antes da publicação.*