Cariogenicity of original and fruit juice-added soy beverages
Cariogenicidade de bebidas de soja originais e acrescidas de sucos de fruta

Abstract
Purpose: To evaluate the cariogenic potential of soy-based drinks available in the Brazilian market.

Methods: Three soy-based beverages from different trademarks (Ades®, Mais Vita® and Sollys®) were evaluated. For each brand, 04 flavors were analyzed (original, pineapple, orange and grape juices). Total Soluble Solid Content (TSSC), Reducing Sugars (RS), Non-reducing Sugars (NRS) and Total Sugars (TS) contents were assessed. Analyses were performed in triplicate. Data gathered underwent analysis of variance (ANOVA), and type I error (α) was set at 0.05.

Results: TSSC values ranged between 8.25 and 15.00 °Brix for all samples. There were no differences in TSSC levels between original and fruit juice-added soy drinks. RS have not been detected in any of the original soy beverages. Concerning to the drinks containing fruit juices, Mais Vita® grape significantly revealed the highest RS levels (P < 0.05). For NRS and TS, analyses indicated Ades® and Mais Vita® original contain levels significantly (P < 0.05) lower than the corresponding fruit juice-added drinks.

Conclusion: There is no difference in TSSC values between original and fruit juice-added soy drinks and most beverages containing fruit-juices presented higher levels of TS and sucrose when compared to the original soy drink, what might lead to an increased cariogenic potential.
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Resumo
Objetivo: Avaliar o potencial cariogênico de bebidas à base de soja disponíveis no mercado brasileiro.

Metodologia: Três bebidas de soja de diferentes marcas comerciais (Ades®, Mais Vita® e Sollys®) e sabores (original, abacaxi, laranja e uva) foram analisadas. Verificaram-se os Teores de Sólidos Solúveis Totais (TSST) e de Açúcares Redutores (AR), Não-redutores (ANR) e Totais (AT). As análises foram realizadas em triplicata e os dados submetidos à Análise de Variância (ANOVA), com erro α de 0.05.

Resultados: Os valores TSST variaram de 8,25 a 15,00 °Brix para todas as amostras, não havendo diferenças entre as bebidas originais e as acrescidas de sucos de fruta. Não foram detectados AR nas amostras de bebidas originais. Quanto às formulações contendo sucos de fruta, o Mais Vita® uva apresentou os mais altos níveis de AR (P < 0.05). Quantto à ANR e AT, Ades® e Mais Vita® Originais revelaram teores significativamente menores que as bebidas acrescidas de sucos de fruta (P < 0.05).

Conclusão: Não houve diferença nos TSST entre as bebidas de soja originais e as acrescidas de sucos de fruta. A maioria das formulações contendo suco de fruta apresentou teores mais altos de AT e sacarose quando comparadas à respectiva bebida original, o que pode resultar em um maior potencial cariogênico do produto.
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Introduction

The search for more nutritious and healthier foods has been demonstrated to have a significant amount of the population, which is driven to have a calorie restricted, lower fat and cholesterol-free diet (1), either for medical, philosophical or social reasons (1,2). In this context, soy and its derivatives emerge as a valuable alternative for supplying such sort of demand (1).

Soy contains 40% high quality and low cost protein, 20% fat, rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids, and a considerable content of vitamins and minerals, factors that relate this grain consumption to risk reduction of developing many chronic diseases, including breast and prostate cancers, osteoporosis and coronary heart diseases (1).

In the last years, the non-alcoholic drinks market has been developed rather diversified products which follow a trend of appreciation of attributes regarding nutritional quality (3). Tropical fruits-based soy drinks are perfectly portrayed in such a context, once they may combine both characteristics of flavor and vitamins present in fruits with phytochemicals from soy (4).

Nevertheless, the addition of fruit juices to soy beverages is thought to cause damage to teeth because of two properties: first of all, the low pH and high titratable acidity of some drinks can cause erosion on enamel surface (5), and secondly the fermentable carbohydrates in the drinks are metabolized by plaque microorganisms to generate organic acids in the dental plaque that can cause demineralization, leading to dental caries (6,7).

As regards that cariogenicity, sucrose, glucose and fructose found in fruit juice-added drinks are probably the main sugars associated with infant caries (6). Sucrose, the most widely used sugar (6,7), is considered to be the most important one in dental caries as it is the only substrate used for bacterial generation of plaque dextrans which is essential for bacterial adherence, and thus facilitates the implantation of cariogenic bacteria in the oral cavity (6).

The Total Soluble Solids Content (TSSC) or degrees Brix (*Bx) is numerically equal to the percentage of sugar and other dissolved solids in a solution. This scale is used in the food industry for measuring the approximate amount of sugars in fruit juices and others beverages. Then, a solution that is 25 degrees Brix has 25 g of sugar per 100 g of solution (8).

In a general way, sugars may be classified as reducing or non-reducing based on their reactivity with Fehling’s reagents. Sugars that contain aldehyde groups that are oxidized to carboxylic acids are classified as reducing sugars (e.g. glucose, fructose, maltose, lactose) (5,9). Those that are unable to reduce the above oxidizing agents are called non-reducing sugars (e.g.: sucrose). Fruit juices are examples of foods that contain a mixture of these three soluble sugars (fructose, sucrose and glucose) (5,10), with the concentration varying according to the type and maturation status of the fruit. Once more, such sugariness, coupled with an acidic nature, has caused fruit juice to be cited as a risk factor to dental decay (5).

Hence, in view of the great importance of knowing the cariogenicity of those kinds of beverages, commonly drunk by children, this study aimed to evaluate the cariogenic potential of soy-based drinks of different brands containing pineapple, orange and grape juices commercially available in the Brazilian market, establishing parameters for comparison with the respective soy milks.

Methods

Analysis of physicochemical parameters of the soy juices was performed in the Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Basic and Social Sciences; Center for Human, Social and Agrarian Sciences; Federal University of Paraiba.

Three soy-based beverages from different trademarks were evaluated. For each brand, were analyzed flavors of pineapple, orange, grape, and original, making a total of 12 samples (Table 1).

Table 1. Soy-based beverages under evaluation according to brand name, flavor and manufacturer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand Name</th>
<th>Flavor</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ades®</td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>Unilever Brasil Alimentos Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grape</td>
<td>Unilever Brasil Alimentos Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pineapple</td>
<td>Unilever Brasil Alimentos Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
<td>Unilever Brasil Alimentos Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mais Vita®</td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>Yoki Alimentos Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grape</td>
<td>Yoki Alimentos Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pineapple</td>
<td>Yoki Alimentos Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
<td>Yoki Alimentos Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sollys®</td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>Nestlé Brasil Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grape</td>
<td>Nestlé Brasil Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pineapple</td>
<td>Nestlé Brasil Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
<td>Nestlé Brasil Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Samples were obtained at different retail establishments from the city of Campina Grande, Paraiba, Brazil. Chemical and physicochemical analyses were performed in triplicate. The Samples, packed in original cartons containing 250 mL and 1000 mL, were coded and kept at room temperature until the time of analysis.

Parameters determination of TSSC, reducing sugars, non-reducing sugars and total sugars was undertaken according to methodology described by the Adolf Lutz Institute (11).

Degrees Brix (*Bx)

This test assesses the total soluble solids content (proteins, lipids, glucides, mineral salts, vitamins, organic acids, pigments and other substances) in a sample. The *Bx readings were made by refractometry using an Abbe refractometer (PZO-RL1, Warsaw, Poland). As the refractive index of a sugar-containing solution is also temperature-dependent, refractometers are typically calibrated at 20°C. The equipment was calibrated with deionized water.
(refraction index=1.3330 and 0° Brix at 20°C) and the readings of the samples were performed (12).

Reducing sugars, non-reducing sugars and total sugars

Reducing sugars (e.g.: glucose), non-reducing sugars (e.g.: sucrose) and total sugars were measured according to the method adopted by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (13), and results were expressed as g/100 mL.

Statistical analysis

Data gathered were statistically treated by means of Analysis of Variance test (ANOVA), and type I error (alpha) was set at 0.05. Such analysis was performed by using the statistical program Graphpad Prism 5.0.

Results

The results of chemical and physicochemical properties of total soluble solids (°Brix), reducing sugars, non-reducing sugars and total sugars are disposed in Table 2.

Regarding the parameter of total soluble solids content, values ranged between 8.25 and 15.00 °Brix for all samples under evaluation. Statistical analysis of these data indicated that Ades® values are significantly (P<0.05) lower than Sollys® values and that for the 03 brands tested no statistical differences were found between the respective levels of TSSC for original and fruit juice-added soy drinks.

According to the method used, reducing sugars have not been detected in any of the original soy beverages samples. With regards to the drinks containing fruit juices, Sollys® pineapple flavor was found to show reducing sugars values (0.75 g glucose per 100 mL sample) statistically lower than all the others (P<0.05), whereas Mais Vita® grape flavor significantly revealed (P<0.05) the highest reducing sugars levels (3.95 g glucose per 100 mL sample).

For total sugars and non-reducing sugars, analyses indicated that the brands Ades® and Mais Vita® contain in their original flavor levels significantly (P<0.05) lower than the corresponding fruit juice-added drinks.

Discussion

Dental caries is initiated by the process of fermentation, in which the production of strong organic acids causes demineralization of the tooth surface (14). Stephan in his classic studies in the early 1940’s showed that dental plaque exposed to sucrose could rapidly produce acids, causing a rapid drop in pH followed by a gradual recovery toward the baseline plaque pH (15). Since that time, a causal association between the production of strong acids from plaque in response to sucrose and caries activity has become well established. Therefore, limitation of sucrose intake is an important lifestyle change to promote in patients with high caries risk/activity (7).

The study on cariogenicity of everyday products such as fruit juice beverages (which include sucrose) has been gaining significant importance in view of outlining consumers’ caries risk.

The present investigation has assessed not only the TSSC (Degrees °Brix), which shows a direct relationship with the viscosity of the ingested foods, possibly facilitating the retention of diet components onto dental surfaces, but also the presence of sugars (especially sucrose) in the products compositions.

In this study, TSSC (°Brix values) ranged from 8.25 °Brix (Grape juice, Ades®) to 15.00 °Brix (Grape juice, Mais Vita®), which is in agreement with the findings of previous Brazilian studies (5,16). Regarding this parameter, there was no statistically significant difference between original soy and fruit juice-added drinks for all three brands assessed.

Table 2. Chemical and physicochemical parameters evaluated for soy-based beverages samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Flavor</th>
<th>TSSC (°Brix)</th>
<th>Reducing sugar (g of glucose/100 mL of sample)</th>
<th>Non-reducing sugar (g of sucrose/100 mL of sample)</th>
<th>Total sugar (g of sugar/100 mL of sample)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ades®</td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>8.50±0.50a</td>
<td>1.63±0.05c</td>
<td>3.90±0.06a</td>
<td>5.75±0.12a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grape</td>
<td>8.25±0.70a</td>
<td>1.76±0.03b</td>
<td>4.63±0.05d</td>
<td>6.63±0.04b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pineapple</td>
<td>9.25±0.00a</td>
<td>1.70±0.03c</td>
<td>10.18±0.30h</td>
<td>12.42±0.29b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Original</td>
<td>8.50±0.20 a</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.94±0.01a</td>
<td>3.10±0.01a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mais Vita®</td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>12.25±0.70a</td>
<td>1.97±0.08d</td>
<td>8.97±0.17a</td>
<td>11.41±0.24a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grape</td>
<td>15.00±0.50a</td>
<td>3.95±0.15c</td>
<td>7.36±0.22a</td>
<td>11.70±0.26a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pineapple</td>
<td>12.50±0.50a</td>
<td>3.23±0.14a</td>
<td>7.71±0.10a</td>
<td>11.36±0.05a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Original</td>
<td>10.00±0.30a</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.13±0.01a</td>
<td>4.35±0.01a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sollys®</td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>11.75±0.50a</td>
<td>1.56±0.01b</td>
<td>3.33±0.02b</td>
<td>5.07±0.03b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grape</td>
<td>11.50±0.20a</td>
<td>1.97±0.01d</td>
<td>3.13±0.11h</td>
<td>5.26±0.12b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pineapple</td>
<td>11.25±0.60a</td>
<td>0.75±0.01a</td>
<td>3.45±0.13h</td>
<td>4.38±0.14b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Original</td>
<td>10.25±0.00a</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.19±0.09h</td>
<td>5.46±0.12d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table presents averages of the triplicates (± standard deviation). Different letters in the same column indicate statistically significant differences (P<0.05) according to ANOVA test.
A cohort study in USA conducted by Marshall et al. (17) investigated for 4 years association between caries experience and intake of sugared beverages in children at 4 to 7 years of age. Authors found that consumption of regular soda pop, regular powdered beverages, and, to a lesser extent, 100% juice in early childhood was associated with increased caries risk. The present study assessed the cariogenic potential of soy-based drinks and found that juice-added beverages lead to an increased caries risk.

The cariogenic potential of foods is linked to the content of a variety of sugars, monosaccharides and disaccharides (18). Sucrose, glucose and fructose, are fermentable to acid by a variety of oral microorganisms (19), and sucrose can be split into its two component sugars (glucose and fructose) (20).

This study verified the existence and quantity of total, reducing (e.g. glucose) and non-reducing (e.g. sucrose) sugars added to Brazilian soy-based drinks formulations. More than 30% of the samples presented total sugar content over 10 g per 100 mL, which is an important value as far as liquid intake by children is concerned.

A recent study evaluated the total sugar content of popular beverages from Syria, including orange juice, cola and full-fat milk. It was verified that, besides being acid (pH 3.10) the orange juice sample examined had a total sugar content of 11.57 g/100 mL (21). Such value can be compared to what has been found in Mais Vita® orange flavor (11.41±0.24 g/100 mL).

Abreu et al. (4) agree with the results obtained in the present study and point out that the greatest amount of sugars in mixed drinks has been due both to incorporation of sugars from the added juice and to addition of sugars in the drink formulation.

A study evaluating the effect of milk and soy-based infant formulas and sucrose association on demineralization of primary enamel and dental biofilm formed showed that both formulas induced significant enamel mineral loss, which increased when sucrose was added. Moreover, both formulas were fermented, resulting in a decrease of biofilm pH, irrespective of sucrose addition (22).

The cariogenic and erosive potential of some industrialized fruit juices available in Brazil was recently investigated in the study by Almeida et al. (5). Most juices presented low pH and a high total sugar content. Besides cariogenicity, other aspects related to the drinks are needed to be assessed such as acidity. A previous study investigated the erosive potential of the same soy-based drinks used in this study. Were included tests to verify endogenous pH and titratable acidity. Results indicated that all soy-based juices showed pH below the critical value of 5.5 (23).

National and international literature is scarce in studies investigating the presence of reducing, non-reducing and total sugars in industrialized fruit juices (4,5,21). In addition, to the best of our knowledge, there is no standardized value in the literature to establish the cariogenic potential of the sugars present in beverages (5).

Better labelling of drinks which disclosed the actual concentration (percentage by weight or volume) of sucrose and other sugars would help consumers in choosing products which would be less likely to contribute to dental caries (24).

Besides that, a further issue to be considered is the use of sweeteners in the beverages to reduce the intake of sucrose and other fermentable sugars. A number of sweeteners now exist which are effective replacements for dietary sucrose. Materials such as sucralose, leucrose, trehalose, palatinose and isomalt (which are chemically similar to sucrose) are now being used in confectionery (25).

Given this, the use of sucrose substitutes might reduce the product cariogenicity at the same time that might retain its pleasant taste. Health professionals and parents, principally, should be aware of the damages some fruit juice-added beverages might cause to tooth structures. Accordingly, it has been important knowing their cariogenic and acidogenic potentials in order to reach safer patterns of consumption.

**Conclusions**

According to the methods employed and from the results obtained, it has been valid considering that:

- As regards total soluble solids content, there is no difference between original and fruit juice-added soy drinks.
- Excepting the brand Sollys®, fruit juice-added beverages presented higher levels of total sugars and sucrose when compared to the original soy drink, what might lead to an increased cariogenic potential.
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